

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/425,788	10/22/1999	E. NOEL ABARRA	0941.63365	0941.63365 1025		
7	590 05/29/2002					
	BURNS ESQ	EXAMINER]		
GREER-BURNS-&-GRAIN-LTD 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			RICKMAN, HOLLY C			
SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	7.,	
,			1773		- 21	
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •				۶				
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/425,788		ABARRA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Holly Rickm		1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX-(6)-MONTHS. from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days: a reply within the statutory-minimum.of.thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 March 2002</u> .								
,— ·	is action is n	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election red	quirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	.		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/425,788

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting-

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6, 19-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-14,16, and 18-23 of copending Application No. 09/938,032. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are broader than the claims of 09/938,032 and the claims of the present application would be anticipated by the claims of 09/938,032 if patented. *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. The provisional double patenting rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 101 is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of the conflicting claim in application serial number 09/588,850.

Application/Control Number: 09/425,788

Art Unit: 1773

4. The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 2-12 and 19-23 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's submission of a terminal-disclaimer.

Claim Objections

5. The objection to claim 8 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The rejection of claims 2, 6, and 18 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of the amendments to claims 2 and 6 and the previous cancellation of claim 18.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/02 have been fully considered and are persuasive with respect to the rejections set forth in paper no. 13. However, Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 1773

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (703) 305-2642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Holly Mill Holly Rickman Examiner

Art Unit 1773

hcr May 28, 2002